UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

ORDER OF REFERENCE

Check if previously referred Nkem Echem V. CA/CR No. 05cv10245 JLT John Ashcroft, Attorney General Criminal Category _____ In accordance with 28 U.S.C. §636 and the Rules for United States Magistrates in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts, the above-entitled case is referred to Magistrate Judge Collings for the following proceedings: Referred for full pretrial case management, including all dispositive motions. (A) Referred for full pretrial case management, not including dispositive motions: (B) Referred for discovery purposes only. (C) (D) Referred for Report and Recommendation on: () Motion(s) for injunctive relief) Motion(s) for judgment on the pleadings () Motion(s) for summary judgment () Motion(s) to permit maintenance of a class action () Motion(s) to suppress evidence () Motion(s) to dismiss (X) Post Conviction Proceedings¹ See Documents Numbered: Motions 3, 5, and 6 Case referred for events only. See Doc. No(s). (E) Case referred for settlement. (F) Service as a special master for hearing, determination and report, subject to the terms of the special order (G) filed herewith: () In accordance with Rule 53, F.R.Civ.P. () In accordance with 42 U.S.C. 2000e-5(f)(5) Special Instructions: (H) /s/ Kimberly M. Abaid March 24, 2005 By: Deputy Clerk

(Order of Ref to MJ.wpd - 05/2003)

See reverse side of order for instructions

Case 1:05-cv-10245-JLT Document 10 Filed 03/24/2005 Page 2 of 2

INSTRUCTIONS FOR POST-CONVICTION PROCEEDINGS

| In accordance with all rules governing §2254 and §2255 cases the magistrate judge to whom this post-conviction proceeding is referred shall: | | |
|--|---|---|
| | Make a | recommendation as to summary dismissal under Rule 4 of the Rules for §2254 and §2255 cases |
| | Appoin | t counsel if the interests of justice so require |
| | Order is | ssuance of appropriate process, if necessary |
| | | hearing to determine whether or not an evidentiary hearing must be held and make a nendation to the district judge |
| | If the magistrate judge expects to recommend that an evidentiary hearing be held, the magistra shall hold a pretrial conference for the purpose of narrowing the issue to be tried and submit a the district judge setting forth: | |
| | (a) | a concise summary of the ultimate facts claimed by (1) petitioner (2) respondent (3) other parties; |
| | (b) | the facts established by the pleadings or by stipulations of the parties which may be incorporated by reference; |
| | (c) | any jurisdictional questions; |
| | (d) | issues of law, including evidentiary questions; |
| | (e) | the probable length of the evidentiary hearing. |
| | | ay also require the parties to submit the names of witnesses whom they intend to produce, and to and submit a schedule of, exhibits which they expect to offer in evidence. |
| X | | ny issue concerning which the magistrate judge does not intend to recommend an evidentiary , the magistrate judge shall submit a memo which shall: |
| | (a) | identify the relevant portions of the record or transcript of prior proceedings; |
| | (b) | summarize the relevant facts; |
| | (c) | summarize the parties' contentions of law with appropriate citations; |
| | (d) | state the recommendations as to the disposition of such contentions of law, and the grounds therefore. |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

(Postconv.ins - 09/92)

(Order of Ref to MJ.wpd - 1/20/03)